

On Matrix Product States for Periodic Boundary Conditions

Klaus Krebs

*Universität Bonn, Physikalisches Institut
Nußallee 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

Abstract: The possibility of a matrix product representation for eigenstates with energy and momentum zero of a general m -state quantum spin Hamiltonian with nearest neighbour interaction and periodic boundary condition is considered. The quadratic algebra used for this representation is generated by $2m$ operators which fulfil m^2 quadratic relations and is endowed with a trace. It is shown that *not* every eigenstate with energy and momentum zero can be written as matrix product state. An explicit counter-example is given. This is in contrast to the case of open boundary conditions where every zero energy eigenstate can be written as a matrix product state using a Fock-like representation of the same quadratic algebra.

In a previous paper [1] it was shown that every zero energy eigenstate of a general m -state quantum spin Hamiltonian with nearest neighbour interaction in the bulk and open boundary conditions (i. e. with single site terms at each boundary) can be written with the help of a quadratic algebra. In this letter we ask for an analogous statement for periodic boundary conditions. By giving a counter-example we show that such a statement does not hold for periodic boundary conditions. Let us start with a summary of the previous paper [1]. Consider a Hamiltonian of the following form:

$$H_{\text{op}} = h_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} h_{j,j+1} + h_L. \quad (1)$$

The bulk interaction term $h_{j,j+1}$ acts locally on the sites j and $j+1$ and is defined by

$$h_{j,j+1} = \sum_{\mu,\nu,\sigma,\tau=1}^m \gamma_{\sigma\tau}^{\mu\nu} E_j^{\sigma\mu} E_{j+1}^{\tau\nu} \quad (2)$$

where the $E^{\sigma\tau}$ are $m \times m$ -matrices with entries $(E^{\sigma\tau})_{\mu,\nu} = \delta_{\sigma,\mu} \delta_{\tau,\nu}$. The boundary terms h_1 and h_L act on the sites 1 and L , respectively, and have the form

$$h_1 = \sum_{\mu,\sigma=1}^m \alpha_{\sigma}^{\mu} E_1^{\sigma\mu} \quad h_L = \sum_{\mu,\sigma=1}^m \beta_{\sigma}^{\mu} E_L^{\sigma\mu}. \quad (3)$$

Following the suggestion of [2], for each Hamiltonian of this type we introduce a quadratic algebra generated by $2m$ generators $D_1, \dots, D_m, X_1, \dots, X_m$ fulfilling the following m^2 quadratic relations determined by the coefficients of the bulk interaction term (2):

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^m \gamma_{\sigma\tau}^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu} D_{\nu} = X_{\sigma} D_{\tau} - D_{\sigma} X_{\tau} \quad \sigma, \tau = 1, \dots, m. \quad (4)$$

By giving a representation it was shown in [1] that this algebra exists for every choice of the coefficients $\gamma_{\sigma\tau}^{\mu\nu}$ in (4). Furthermore we introduce a Fock-representation of this algebra. We assume that there is an auxiliary vector space $\mathcal{V}$, where the generators $D_1, \dots, D_m, X_1, \dots, X_m$ act on, and states $|V\rangle$ and $\langle W|$ in $\mathcal{V}$ and its dual, respectively, such that the following relations hold:

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^m \alpha_{\sigma}^{\mu} \langle W| D_{\mu} = -\langle W| X_{\sigma} \quad \sum_{\mu=1}^m \beta_{\sigma}^{\mu} D_{\mu} |V\rangle = X_{\sigma} |V\rangle \quad \sigma = 1, \dots, m. \quad (5)$$

The theorem proved in [1] makes two statements. The first one says that the state P defined by

$$P = \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_L=1}^m \langle W| D_{\tau_1} D_{\tau_2} \dots D_{\tau_L} |V\rangle u_{\tau_1}^{(1)} \otimes u_{\tau_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{\tau_L}^{(L)}, \quad (6)$$

where $u_\tau^{(k)}$ ($\tau = 1, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, L$) denotes the basis of the vector space of the k th site, is an eigenstate of H_{op} (1) with energy zero, i. e. $H_{\text{op}}P = 0$. This can be shown using only the relations (4) and (5). A state of the form (6) is called a matrix product state. The second statement says that for *every* zero energy eigenstate P' of H_{op} one can find a representation of the operators D_τ , X_τ and vectors $\langle W|$, $|V\rangle$ such that P' can be written in the form (6). We would like to stress that the bulk algebra (4) exists for every choice of the coefficients $\gamma_{\sigma\tau}^{\mu\nu}$ whereas the existence of the Fock representation (5), more precisely of the vectors $\langle W|$ and $|V\rangle$, depends on the existence of a zero energy eigenstate of H_{op} .

Now we turn to periodic boundary conditions and ask for statements analogous to that described above. We consider a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{\text{per}} = \sum_{j=1}^L h_{j,j+1} \quad (7)$$

with periodic boundary conditions where the bulk interaction term $h_{j,j+1}$ is again given by (2). As in the open boundary case, to each Hamiltonian of the form (7) we may again associate the quadratic algebra (4) which is determined just by the coefficients of $h_{j,j+1}$. On this algebra we introduce a *trace-like* function. By this we mean a linear number-valued function which is invariant under cyclic permutations, i. e. for a trace-like function tr and for any two elements A and B of the algebra the relation

$$tr(AB) = tr(BA) \quad (8)$$

holds. If a non-trivial trace-like function tr exists on the quadratic algebra (4), the state P_0 defined by

$$P_0 = \sum_{\tau_1 \dots \tau_L=0}^{m-1} tr(D_{\tau_1} \dots D_{\tau_L}) u_{\tau_1}^{(1)} \otimes u_{\tau_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes u_{\tau_L}^{(L)} \quad (9)$$

is an eigenstate of H_{per} with energy and momentum zero (cf. [3]). This corresponds to the first statement of the theorem of [1]. To get the analogue of the second statement we ask the following question: Given an eigenstate P'_0 of H_{per} with energy and momentum zero, we ask for the corresponding trace-like function $tr_{P'_0}$ such that P'_0 is obtained by (9). As we will see below, such a trace-like function does not exist in every case. We will present an example of a Hamiltonian which has an eigenstate with energy and momentum zero which cannot be written in the form (9).

Before we come to this counter-example we would like to add some remarks on the relations between trace-like functions on the algebra (4) and traces of representations of (4). It is not clear that any trace-like function can be obtained as the trace of an appropriate representation. Consider for example the algebra discussed in [3] (section 3.2 in this paper). This algebra can be decomposed into two sectors. For each sector a representation with a

well-defined and non-trivial trace is given in [3]. However, a representation which has a trace on both sectors at the same time is not known to exist whereas a trace-like function with this property can easily be defined. Next we would like to mention that a trace-like function can be defined without using an appropriate representation with a trace on it at all. For example consider the coset algebra of (4), which is obtained by taking $X_\tau = 0$ for $\tau = 1, \dots, m$, without any additional structure. If this coset algebra exists the coefficients of the independent monomials are the weights of zero energy eigenstates of the corresponding Hamiltonian with closed boundary conditions (i. e. the Hamiltonian (1) with $h_1 = h_L = 0$) [4]. In some cases there are sectors of this algebra where the coefficients of the independent monomials are invariant under cyclic permutations. In these cases the approach of [4] also works for the corresponding models with periodic boundary conditions (but only in the corresponding sectors) and the mapping which assigns to each monomial its coefficients of the independent monomials defines a trace-like function. This happens in the case of the three state diffusion model considered in [5]. (It is interesting to note that only the sector with equal number of particles for all species was treated in [5]. One can show that on all other sectors of this algebra a trace-like function does not exist.) Another useful observation is that the relations (4) are homogeneously quadratic. Therefore they do not lead to relations of monomials or trace-like functions of monomials of different lengths. Hence, on each sector generated by all monomials of a given length L , a trace-like function can be defined separately. We will make use of this fact later.

Now we give an example for a Hamiltonian which has an eigenstate with energy and momentum zero which cannot be written in the form (9) since for this state an appropriate trace-like function on the algebra (4) does not exist. We consider the Hamiltonian H_3 which is defined as the Hamiltonian (7) on a 3-site lattice with 3 states per site and the following coefficients of the bulk interaction term (2):

$$\gamma_{21}^{12} = \gamma_{12}^{21} = -\gamma_{13}^{31} = -\gamma_{23}^{32} = -\gamma_{12}^{12} = -\gamma_{21}^{21} = \gamma_{31}^{31} = \gamma_{32}^{32} = \alpha \quad (10)$$

All other coefficients are zero. This Hamiltonian has the following conserved quantities:

$$N_\tau = \sum_{j=1}^3 E_j^{\tau\tau} \quad \tau = 1, 2 \quad (11)$$

A straightforward but lengthy calculation shows that on the zero momentum sector all matrix elements of H_3 vanish. Hence, every translational invariant state has energy zero. We call this sector $\mathcal{U}_0$. Its dimension is 11. We ask which of the states of $\mathcal{U}_0$ can be obtained with the help of a trace-like function on the algebra (4). Therefore we have to look for the conditions which the quadratic relations (4) and the cyclic invariance of the trace (8) put onto the values of any trace-like functions. If tr is such a trace-like function these conditions have the form

$$tr(X_{\tau_1} D_{\tau_2} D_{\tau_3}) - tr(X_{\tau_2} D_{\tau_3} D_{\tau_1}) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \gamma_{\tau_1 \tau_2}^{\mu \nu} tr(D_\mu D_\nu D_{\tau_3}) \quad (12)$$

$$tr(X_{\tau_1}X_{\tau_2}D_{\tau_3}) - tr(X_{\tau_3}X_{\tau_1}D_{\tau_2}) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \gamma_{\tau_2\tau_3}^{\mu\nu} tr(X_{\tau_1}D_{\mu}D_{\nu}) \quad (13)$$

where $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 = 1, 2, 3$. To simplify the notation for the following calculations we use the invariance under cyclic permutations to push the X -generators in the argument of tr to the first positions as done in (12),(13). We consider (12) and (13) as a system of equations whose solutions are trace-like functions. For each state in $\mathcal{U}_0$, which can be written in the form (9), there is a class of solutions of (12) and (13). (A class of solutions consists of all trace-like functions whose values differ only on the monomials with one or more X -generators.) Our question is now, how many independent classes of solutions of (12) and (13) can be found. It turns out that only 10 independent classes of solutions exist corresponding to 10 states of the form (9). Thus, one state out of 11 remains which cannot be written in this form. Let us now study solutions in detail.

The sector $\mathcal{U}_0$ can be divided up into the sub-sector of symmetric states (states which are invariant not only under cyclic permutation but under arbitrary permutations) and its orthogonal complement. The symmetric sector has dimension 10. All symmetric states can be written with the help of a trace-like function on the algebra (4). To see this we consider one-dimensional representations, i. e. we choose the generators to be numbers and the trace-like function to be the ordinary product of numbers. In this case the quadratic relations (4) with the coefficients of (10) reduce to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_1x_2 - d_2x_1 \\ \alpha d_1d_3 &= d_1x_3 - d_3x_1 \\ \alpha d_2d_3 &= d_2x_3 - d_3x_2 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we have replaced the capital letters in (4) by small ones. It is easy to check that for each choice of d_1, d_2 and d_3 one can find numbers x_1, x_2 and x_3 such that the relations (14) are fulfilled. Therefore the values of d_1, d_2 and d_3 can be chosen arbitrarily. For one-dimensional representations the coefficients of the state (9) are just the independent monomials of degree 3 in the 3 variables d_1, d_2 and d_3 . There are 10 of such monomials allowing as many independent states of the form (9). Taking into account that a linear combination of trace-like functions is again a trace-like function every symmetric state can be written in the form (9). The orthogonal complement of the symmetric sector is generated by the single state φ defined by

$$\varphi = \sum_{\sigma \in S_3} \text{sign}(\sigma) u_{\sigma(1)}^{(1)} \otimes u_{\sigma(2)}^{(2)} \otimes u_{\sigma(3)}^{(3)} \quad (15)$$

where S_3 is the group of permutations of three objects. This state *cannot* be written with the help of a trace-like function. To show this we attempt to construct a function tr_{φ}^* which fulfils all properties of a trace-like function on the algebra (4) with the coefficients (10) and allows us to write the state φ in the form (9). (The star indicates that it is not yet clear whether tr_{φ}^* can be extended to a trace-like function on all monomials of length 3.) As pointed out above it is sufficient to define tr_{φ}^* only for monomials of length 3. For all further calculations

the function tr_φ^* is assumed to be invariant under cyclic permutations. The values of tr_φ^* for monomials of length 3 containing only D -generators are uniquely determined by the requirement that tr_φ^* allows a representation of φ in the form (9), i. e.

$$tr_\varphi^*(D_{\sigma(1)}D_{\sigma(2)}D_{\sigma(3)}) = \text{sign}(\sigma) \quad \sigma \in S_3. \quad (16)$$

The values of tr_φ^* on all other monomials containing only D -generators have to be zero. The next step is to determine the values of tr_φ^* on the monomials with one X -generator. These values are constrained by the necessary conditions (12) and (13) which immediately result from the quadratic relations (4) and the invariance of the trace-like function under cyclic permutations (8) and thus hold for any trace-like function tr . We claim that tr_φ^* is a trace-like function and use the necessary condition (12) to determine the values of tr_φ^* for monomials with one X -generator. Note that on the left hand side of (12) only differences of traces-like functions of monomials appear whose indices differ through a cyclic permutation. Hence, if $tr_\varphi^*(X_{\tau_1}D_{\tau_2}D_{\tau_3}) = t_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}$ is a solution of (12) for given values of $tr_\varphi^*(D_{\tau_1}D_{\tau_2}D_{\tau_3})$ then $tr_\varphi^*(X_{\tau_1}D_{\tau_2}D_{\tau_3}) = t_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3} + w_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}$, where the $w_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}$ are arbitrary numbers with $w_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3} = w_{\tau_2\tau_3\tau_1}$, is again a solution of (12). Making use of this ambiguity, the values $tr_\varphi^*(X_{\tau_1}D_{\tau_2}D_{\tau_3})$ determined by (12) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} tr_\varphi^*(X_1D_2D_3) &= w_{123} + \alpha & tr_\varphi^*(X_1D_3D_2) &= w_{132} - \alpha \\ tr_\varphi^*(X_2D_3D_1) &= w_{123} + \alpha & tr_\varphi^*(X_2D_1D_3) &= w_{132} - \alpha \\ tr_\varphi^*(X_3D_1D_2) &= w_{123} & tr_\varphi^*(X_3D_2D_1) &= w_{132} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where w_{123} and w_{132} are arbitrary constants. Up to these constants, the values of tr_φ^* in (17) are uniquely determined by (12). The values of tr_φ^* on all other monomials with one X -generator do not appear in further calculations. It remains to check whether condition (13) can be fulfilled by the function tr_φ^* defined so far. Therefore we define the following function:

$$Z_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}[tr] = \sum_{\mu\nu} \left[\gamma_{\tau_1\tau_2}^{\mu\nu} tr(X_{\tau_3}D_\mu D_\nu) + \gamma_{\tau_2\tau_3}^{\mu\nu} tr(X_{\tau_1}D_\mu D_\nu) + \gamma_{\tau_3\tau_1}^{\mu\nu} tr(X_{\tau_2}D_\mu D_\nu) \right]. \quad (18)$$

From (13) we find $Z_{\tau_1\tau_2\tau_3}[tr] = 0$ as a necessary condition for tr being a trace-like function. Taking the values of tr_φ^* defined in (17) we find

$$Z_{012}[tr_\varphi^*] = 2\alpha^2 \neq 0. \quad (19)$$

Hence, the function tr_φ^* defined above is *not* a trace-like function on the algebra (4). We would like to stress that there was no ambiguity in the definition of tr_φ^* so far up to the constants w_{123} and w_{132} which in turn do not appear in (19). Therefore a trace-like function on the quadratic algebra (4) whose values on the monomials without X -generators are determined by (16) does not exist at all. Hence, the state φ cannot be written in the form (9) with the help of an appropriate trace-like function. This is what we wanted to show.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Vladimir Rittenberg for many helpful discussions and for never-ending motivation. I would also like to thank Ulrich Bilstein and Silvio Dahmen for critically reading the manuscript and many helpful comments. This work was supported by the TMR Network Contract FMRX-CT96-0012 of the European Commission.

References

- [1] Krebs K and Sandow S 1997 *J. Phys.* **A 30** 3165
- [2] Schütz G M 1996 Stochastic Reaction–Diffusion Processes, Operator Algebras and Integrable Quantum Spin Chains *Statistical Models, Yang–Baxter Equations and Related Topics* Proceedings of the Satellite Meeting of STATPHYS–19 (1995), ed Ge M L and Wu F Y (Singapore: World Scientific); Hinrichsen H, Sandow S and Peschel I 1996 *J. Phys.* **A 29** 2643
- [3] Arndt P F G, Heinzl T and Rittenberg V 1998 *J. Phys.* **A 31** 833
- [4] Alcaraz F C, Dasmahapatra S and Rittenberg V 1998 *J. Phys.* **A 31** 845
- [5] Evans M R, Kafri Y, Koduvely H M and Mukamel D 1998 *Phys. Rev.* **E 58** 2764